## Network Backup with HYPERtape BoF Session at the San Jose CUG Conference

Uwe H. Olias e-mail: olias@rz.uni-kiel.de

University of Kiel Computer Center / Systems Department Kiel, Germany

San Jose BoF Session Concerning HYPERtape					
	Brief Introduction to HYPERtape and HYPERtape/Media (On Request)				
	Special Features of HYPERtape Usage with Regards to UNICOS- and IRIX-Platform as Server				
	HYPERtape Experiences at CRI/SGI Sites				
	Questions, Answers & Suggestions from Audience				
	Any Other Things Concerned with HYPERtape				
This is a User Driven BoF Session					

## The HYPERtape Concept

□ HYPERtape knows three logical instances

- ControlNode
  - Scheduler, dispatcher, controller of Backup-Complex
  - Uses control path to initiate backup from ServiceNode
- ServiceNode (elsewhere known as client)
  - Uses data path towards BackupNode to back data
- BackupNode (elsewhere known as server)
  - Stores data according template-predestined storage media

□ HYPERtape Actions

Actions are SAVE, RESTORE and LIST

HYPERtape/Media				
	HYPERtape/Media: Implemented for several platforms			
	HYPERtape/Media: A comprehensive Media Library Management System			
	Catalog / Scratch Pool / Tape Pool <ul> <li>maintains a list of datasets and associated volumes, owners, media types, scratch tapes, associated tape drives</li> </ul>			
	HYPERtape/Media reduces manual intervention, improving <ul> <li>efficiency, accuracy, control of information center</li> </ul>			
	Complete media management for tapes, cartridges or other media			
	Accidential corruption of datasets is virtually eliminated			
	Media is automatically selected from available scratch pool			
	Performance improvement by segmentation of savesets			



Supported Clients at UoK						
HW Platform	OS	Bkp-Utility				
DEC Alpha	Digital UNIX	CPIO				
• SUN	SunOS, Solaris	CPIO				
• IBM RS6000	AIX	CPIO				
• SGI	IRIX	CPIO				
• SNI RM400	SINIX	CPIO				
<ul> <li>VAX (-Cluster)</li> </ul>	VMS	Backup				
CRAY Y-MP EL	UNICOS	CPIO				
<ul> <li>Client platforms, planned 1997: Windows NT, HP-UX, LINUX</li> </ul>						

Statistical Evaluation of HYPERtape ControlNode-Logfiles at UoK				
	Торіс	CRAY EL-SN		
$\odot$	Monthly # of Ht-Client-Jobs (appr.)	9,000		
$\odot$	Monthly Backup-Amount (MB)	200,000		
$\odot$	Monthly job-connect (hours)	370		
$\odot$	Number of clients	53		
$\odot$	Total sum of Backup-Objects	370		
$\odot$	Average size of Full-Backup (MB)	290		
$\odot$	Average Incremental-Size (MB)	13		
	(% from full)	4.5		
HYPERtape Usage, step 2: 2,000 cartridges 3480/250MB native				
HYPERtape Usage, step 3: 2,000 cartridges 3490-E/800MB native				

Performance Aspects at UoK							
	GB/h		MB/s				
DEC-ALPHA	5.040 (max 9.720)		1.400 (max 2.700)				
SUN	2.520 (max 5.400)		.700 (max 1.500)				
IBM-RS6000	1.944		.540				
SGI	2.880		.800				
SNI-RM400	2.520	I	.700				
VAX	1.080		<.300>				
CRAY Y-MP EL	2.736		.760				
<ul> <li>Backup windows</li> </ul>							
<ul> <li>Full backups,</li> </ul>	starting at (	08 pr	n, 2 jobs in parallel				
Incremental base	ackups, starting at 2	10 pr	n, 4 jobs in parallel				

## Future Aspects at UoK

## The University of Kiel

- Will go for additional decentralized fileservers
- Network backup will be done with HYPERtape & decentralized servers (depends on budget, nothing else)
- Network backup will be controlled by one central Ht-ControlNode
- Network backup will be performed by Departmental BackupNodes
- Potential hardware platforms: Cray or SGI, maybe others
- Software platforms
  - HYPERtape for network backup and associated caching level
  - UNICOS-DMF or AMASS for staging level