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## Introduction

- Last year: Introduced F90 Pthreads API
- This year: Are they really useful?
- How easy is programming?
- Can we get decent parallel performance?
- Are there algorithmic considerations?
- Are there external considerations?
- Must be within a user's attention span


## Pthreads

- POSIX standard for thread functions
- Thread management
- Mutual exclusion
- Conditional variables
- Attributes
- Only defined in C


## F90 Pthreads API

- F90 subroutines interface $\mathbf{C}$ functions
- 'f' prefix to name
- fpthread_create
- Similar to PVM, MPI
- Error code as final argument
- F90 module and C wrapper routines


## Problems Considered

- Matrix Multiplication
- Direct Solution of Linear Systems
- Gaussian Elimination and Back Substitution
- Command, Control, Communication, and Intelligence (C3I) Benchmarks
- Map-Image Correlation
- Terrain Masking


## Project Goals

- Apply threaded programming techniques to scientific computations
- Demonstrate and exploit concurrency in numeric codes
- Achieve execution speed up with multiple threads/processors


## NOT a Project Goal...

## To produce the fastest executing versions of codes and algorithms examined

Our Emphasis:

-How easy is the method to use?
-How much speed up might be expected?

## Matrix Multiplication

- Sparse matrix-matrix multiplication
- Row-major linked list data structure
- Direct Methods for Sparse Matrices by Duff, Erisman and Reid
- IKJ Ioop structure
- C(I,: ) = C(I,: ) + A(I, K) *B(K, :)
- $\mathbf{I}^{\text {th }}$ row of $\mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{K}^{\text {th }}$ row of $B$
- Scalar from A (accessed across $\mathrm{I}^{\text {th }}$ row)


## Thread Algorithm

While more rows to process get next row number (lock shared counter)
for each element in row of A do
copy appropriate row of $B$ to local vector multiply vector by scalar from $A$ add results to local "summation" vector add "summation" vector to row of $C$ lock data structure to prevent overwriting

## $1000 \times 1000$ Sparse Matrix Multiplication (< 10K NZ)

 on SGI/Cray Origin 2000 (IRIX 6.4)| \# of Threads | Time (seconds) |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.259 |
| 2 | 0.261 |
| 4 | 0.261 |
| 8 | 0.264 |
| 16 | 0.270 |
| 32 | 0.301 |
| 64 | 0.324 |
| 128 | 0.364 |

## Dense Matrices

- Not enough work in sparse case
- IKJ loop structure
- row-major access
- JKI loop structure
- C(:, J) = C(: J ) + A(:, K) * B(K, J)
- $J^{\text {th }}$ column of $C ; K^{\text {th }}$ column of $A$
- Scalar from B (accessed down Jth column)


## 1000x 1000 Dense Matrix Multiplication

 on SGI/Cray Origin 2000 (IRIX 6.4)| $\#$ of Threads | IKJ Time <br> (seconds) | JKI Time <br> (seconds) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 75.5 | 29.8 |
| 2 | 42.4 | 20.2 |
| 4 | 22.4 | 11.2 |
| 8 | 11.9 | 6.1 |
| 16 | 6.3 | 3.4 |
| 32 | 3.6 | 2.0 |
| 64 | 3.2 | 1.9 |
| 128 | 3.0 | 2.3 |

## Solution of Linear Systems

- Gaussian Elimination with Back Substitution
- simple method with row updates
- diminishing amounts of work

Q: How do we distribute work evenly among threads throughout computation?

A: Cyclic distribution of rows

## Cyclic Row Partitioning
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## Thread Algorithm

do $i=1$, NUMROWS
if (i mod myid $==\mathbf{0}$ ) then
save pivot, row(i)
else
wait for pivot to be saved
endif
copy row(i), pivot to local row, pivot
do $j=i+1$, NUMROWS
if ( $\mathbf{j} \mathbf{~ m o d}$ myid $=\mathbf{=} \mathbf{0}$ ) then compute row multiplier update row(j) with local row endif
end do
end do
ind $=$ NUMROWS
while (indx $>0$ )
while (indx mod myid $==0$ )
fpthread_cond_wait
compute $x$ (indx)
ind $x$ = ind $x-1$
fpthread_cond_broadcast
end while

## Cyclic Row Partitioning

pivot
A
X
b
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## But What About...

- Column-Major ordering is Fortran standard
- Helped with Matrix Multiply code
- Modify threaded algorithm
- Transpose A matrix after input
- library routine is threaded
- Swap $A(i, j)$ indices for $A(j, i)$


## Timing Results

F90 threaded Gaussian Elimination with back substitution $2000 \times 2000$ system of equations on SGI/Cray Origin2000

| \# of Threads | Row-Major | Transpose <br> Column-Major |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 672 | 162 |
| 2 | 735 | 232 |
| 4 | 556 | 115 |
| 8 | 1030 | 100 |
| 16 | 1642 | 147 |
| 32 | 1667 | 131 |

## But What About...

- ...Memory contention of threads if matrices stored on a single processor?
- Used _DSM_ROUND_ROBIN to no significant effect
- Used A(CYCLIC,*) distribution to no significant effect
- ...Distribution of threads to processors?


## pthread_setconcurrency

- SGI extension to Pthreads
- Wrote F90 wrapper
- Set to number of threads executing


## Added Timing Results

F90 threaded Gaussian Elimination with back substitution $2000 \times 2000$ system of equations on SGI/Cray Origin2000

| \# of Threads | Row-Major | Transpose <br> Column-Major | Transpose <br> fp_setconcurrency |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 672 | 162 |  |
| 2 | 735 | 232 | 125 |
| 4 | 556 | 115 | 68 |
| 8 | 1030 | 100 | 26 |
| 16 | 1642 | 147 | 53 |
| 32 | 1667 | 131 | 64 |

## 10K System of Equations

- Transpose algorithm
- 32 threads
- 10144 seconds on Origin2000
- Transpose with setconcurrency
- 32 threads
- 8608 seconds on Origin2000


## C3I Benchmarks

- U.S. AFRL Information Directorate
- Rome Research Site (Griffis AFB)
- 10 non real-time $\mathbf{C} 3$ functions
- diverse
- computationally
- challenging
- representative of C3I systems
- Spec, sequential code, associated dataset


## Map-Image Correlation

- Surveillance data from remote sensors
- space-based infrared satellites
- remotely-piloted vehicles
- intelligence photographs
- Determine the alignment of features in the images with a detailed map of the area
- Potential for comparing "before" and "after" images


## Example Problem



## Potentials for Concurrency

- Each image is independent
- 2-D Fast Fourier Transform
- Each column is independent 1-D FFT
- Transpose
- Each column (original row) is independent
- FFT of finite number of rotations is independent


## Thread Algorithm

Create thread for each image (both original and rotations):
Discretize image
For each column in image create thread for 1-D FFT
Transpose image array
For each column in image create thread for 1-D FFT
Join threads
Correlate images (using threaded Inverse Fourier Transform)

## Implementation Details

- Two $1024 \times 1024$ Grids
- Use 1-D FFT routine from Cray Sci Lib
- Total of three 2-D FFTs
- Two images
- Inverse FFT for correlation
- Use pthread_setconcurrency


## Map-Image Timing Results

## Threaded Image Correlation of Two 1024x 1024 grids on SGI Origin2000

| Number of <br> threads | 1 | 2 | 4 | 8 | 16 | 32 | 64 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time ( seconds) | 155 | 85 | 47 | 28 | 19 | 14 | 16 |

Single Threaded 2-D FFT (Cray Sci Library): < 2 seconds

## Terrain Masking

- Used in aircraft flight mission computer systems to aid in attack, covert, and evasive flight operations
- Compute evasive routes with low observability
- given a set of threats and their positions


## Problem Description

- Input:
- 2-D relief map (grid of surface elevations)
- Position and range of threats within region
- Output:
- Original map plus masking altitudes
- minimum visible altitude at grid points


## Threat Range and Line of Sight



## Concurrent Method 1

For each threat
determine range boundaries of threat
divide range into N sectors
create N threads
assign one per sector
join threads

## Sector Concurrency Model



## Concurrent Method 2

Determine number of sectors, $N$
create $M$ (number of threats) threads
do $\mathrm{I}=1, \mathrm{~N}$
for each threat compute sector I lock potential overlap areas
synchronize M threads
end do

## Threat Concurrency Model



Create and use mutex for each overlapping column

## Terrain Masking Results

Terrain Masking Timing (in minutes) for $\mathbf{6 0 0 0 \times 6 0 0 0}$ element grid with 90 threats on SGI Origin2000

| NUMBER OF THREADS | 1 | 2 | 4 | 8 | 16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time (minutes) | 25 | 14 | 8 | 5.5 | 3.5 |

With or without pthread_setconcurrency yielded little difference.

## Conclusions

- Threaded codes can demonstrate speed-up
- Minor coding changes to add Pthreads
- task parallelism or functional units
- Able to take advantage of nested parallelism
- Must still be aware of architectural quirks
- cache access patterns
- data distribution and memory contention
- thread to processor mapping

