Large Scale Scientific Visualization on Cray MPP Architectures

Andrew A. Johnson Army HPC Research Center / NetworkCS, Inc. Minneapolis, Minnesota



AHPCRC Background

- Program is in its 13th year
- Funded by the U.S. Army through the Army Research Laboratory
- Funding for hardware acquisition is through the Department of Defense High Performance Computing Modernization Program
- Government-University-Industry partnership for research and development of HPC applications and systems
 - University of Minnesota
 - Clark Atlanta University, Jackson State University, Howard University, Florida A&M University, University of North Dakota
 - Network Computing Services, Inc.



AHPCRC Computing Resources



1990 Cray Vector Systems



1998 Cray T3E-1200 1088 Processors (Serial #1)





1991 Thinking Machines CM-5 896 Processors (Serial #1)



2002-2003 Cray X1 64 Processors LC 128 Processors (Mid 2003)

These days, scientific visualization isn't really about graphics. Its more about data management. Graphics is the easy part.

- Data storage and fast access
 - Data locality
- Parallel computing
 - Scalability
- Network transfer protocols
- Heterogeneous computing
- Feature Extraction
- Compression / Un-compression
 - Geometry
 - Images / Animations
- Interface design and usability
 - Portability







Overview

- Problems & Bottlenecks found in Data Visualization
- Client-Server Framework
- Parallel Implementation
- "Presto" Overview
- Advanced Rendering Capabilities
- Examples / Large Data Sets

D

Mesh-based scientific data sets found in CFD, CSM, and other disciplines. 3D time-dependent results involving scalor and vector

variables.



Large-Scale Data Visualization

- It is becoming very difficult to visualize 3D simulation data sets with "traditional" methods
 - Download entire data set on to your local workstation
 - Load the entire data set into system memory
 - Interactively process and visualize using a workstation with 1 or 2 CPUs



- Today's HPC power allows much larger data-sets to be solved
 - Computed and residing on a remote HPC parallel system
 - Applications can scale up to 1 billion unstructured elements
 - Gbytes in total simulation data set size
- Should use the same HPC system that computed/generated the data set to visualize it also



Recent Case Study

Contaminant Dispersion in Atlanta CAU Researchers (Atlanta, Georgia)

- Geometric Model
 - 76K Control Points
 - 10K Model Faces
- 3D Unstructured Mesh
 - 55 Million Tetrahedrons
 - 10 Million Nodes
- 856 Time Steps
 - Data file per time step
 - 6 Variables per node
- 512 T3E Processors Used
 - Minneapolis, Minnesota



- 2 GBytes to store the mesh
- 0.45 GBytes per time step
- 385 GBytes for all data files

















Data Transfer Times

- Want to post-process and visualize the results
- Dedicated T-1 Line (Minneapolis to Atlanta)



- Mesh: 2.96 Hours at maximum rate (1.5 MBits per sec)
- Single Data File: 40 Minutes
- All Data Files: 23.7 Days
- Need to find a place to store 387 GBytes
- Several other simulations will be (are being) carried out
 - Different conditions and contaminant release locations



What Does This Mean?

- Today's new HPC systems allow simulations to be performed at extremely large scales
- Simulation results should not (can not) move from the HPC site where it was created
 - Store the results on the large HPC work disks
- Anything that "touches" the data set needs to be parallel (probably MPI-based) on a HPC platform
 - Pre-processing
 - Numerical Simulation
 - Post-processing and analysis
- Almost all numerical simulation tools should be built to support large remote data sets and run in parallel





Large Scale Results





- **1 Billion** unstructured (tetrahedral) elements
 - 1 to 30 million are "normal"
 - Implicit (GMRES-Based) solver
- Roughly 170 million nodes and 850 million equations
- 1056 Processors of the Cray T3E were used
 - Largest we could fit into memory (512 MB per processor)
- Creating the mesh and visualization was a challenge
- Roughly 115 GFlops sustained performance
- Visualized "interactively" with Presto



Problems & Bottlenecks

- Data Locality
 - Large data sets are computed and reside on remote HPC systems
 - 1 to 400+ GBytes becoming common
 - Transferring across the Internet and storing locally is impractical
- Processing Power
 - Significant computational and system memory required
 - HPC parallel resources needed
- Expense
 - Users typically have basic desktop systems (PC, Linux)
 - Limited to no access to an expensive visualization system or laboratory
- Ease-of-use







Remote Computing

- Control and use remote HPC resources from a user's desktop environment
 - HPC resources located at a central site
- Fast, Efficient, Effective, and Transparent
- Full control of simulation process
 - Geometric Modeling
 - Automatic Mesh Generation
 - Solver Set-up, Control, and Monitoring
 - Visualization of Computed Data Sets
- Large-Scale data visualization is the greatest challenge
 - If it works for visualization, all other steps in the simulation process are easier





Presto Visualizer

Parallel Scientific Visualization of Remote Data Sets

- Client-Server Model
- Remote Parallel Server
 - Distributed memory (MPI)
 - Unstructured Grids
 - Any type or mixed elements
 - Runs where the data was generated
 - Handles all data manipulations and generates all geometry (polygons)
- Local Desktop Client
 - Handles user interface and all 3D visualization (polygon surfaces)
 - Portable (UNIX, Windows)
 - Low memory
 - Only dependent upon the workstation's OpenGL performance







Capabilities

- Visualization accomplished through...
 - Boundary shadings
 - Iso-surfaces
 - Cross-sections
 - Streamlines
 - Volume rendering
- Time dependent data sets and animation capabilities
- Size of the data set is fully scalable using more processors
- Used over long distances and a variety of network capabilities







Parallel Implementation for Large Data Sets

- Almost all HPC systems are parallel
 - Distributed memory
 - Same system that generated the data set
 - T3E, IBM-SP, SGI-MP, PC Clusters
- Common and portable parallel library such as MPI
- Effectively utilizes HPC
 resources for large data sets
- Fully scalable
 - Computational and memory







Mesh / Workload Distribution

- Mesh partitioning and parallel re-distribution
 - In-house RCB algorithms or ParMETIS
 - Same number of elements and nodes in each partition
- Communication and data structures for interprocessor connectivity







Partitioning the Elements

- METIS AHPCRC/UofM CSci Group
 - Publicly/freely available library
 - Parallel implementation (ParMETIS)
 - High quality partitions (low edge cuts)
 - Fast but can (in some cases) use large memory
 - Partitions any given graph (The Dual)
- Recursive Center Bisection (RCB Partitioning)
 - Recursively sub-divides the mesh along X, Y, or Z planes
 - Partitions a list of (X,Y,Z) coordinates
 - Coordinate of element centers (not "easy" to get)
 - Dual not needed
 - Parallel implementation
 - Fast and low memory
 - Less-optimal partitions
 - Example (2% comm cost with METIS, 2.3% comm cost with RCB; T3E)





Assign Nodes to Processors

- A node referenced by elements all on the same processor will be assigned to that processor
- Nodes referenced by elements on different processors will be assigned at "random" to one of the referring processors
- A local (on-processor) set of nodes (copies) will be created on each mesh partition





Advanced Rendering Capabilities

- Parallel rendering engine built within the server (polygons)
 - Large data set visualization
 - Download the final image to client for display
- Parallel volume rendering
 - Unstructured meshes
 - Fully parallel
 - Transparency and color-map used
 - "Exact" algorithm based on ray tracing
 - Detailed images of the entire volume







Parallel Algorithm

- Volume rendering of each mesh partition
- Parallel compositing algorithm
- Download the final image to client for display
- Newer algorithms are currently being tried



Preliminary Performance Analysis

 Mesh re-partitioning algorithm used for better load-balancing during volume rendering

Large-Scale Visualization

- Direct Parallel Rendering
 - Directly render an image in parallel
 Download the final image for display
- Computational Hydrodynamics
 - Prediction of ship wake characteristics
 - 1 Billion tetrahedral elements
- Computational Fluid Dynamics
 - Unstable high-speed jet of air
 - 1.25 Billion tetrahedral elements
 - Direct parallel volume rendering

Airflow past a cargo aircraft in a take-off configuration. Mesh contains 243 million tetrahedral elements and 41 million nodes (160 million equations). Shown is a volume-rendered image of velocity magnitude.

Current Development

- "Production" Version 2.0
- Increased security and automation
 - Kerberos user authentication and encryption
 - Automated server start-up
- Native structured-mesh support
- More data formats and readers
 - Support for Solid Mechanics (EPIC) data sets
- Additional features
 - Geometry display (wire-frame, feature angle, ...)
 - Particle traces and animated streamlines
 - Interactive volume rendering
- Real-time updates (visualize results on-the-fly)
- Built-in numerical simulation capabilities
- Modular implementation for expandability

Structured-Mesh Support

- Structured mesh solvers are vary common
- Support data sets with "implied" element connectivity
- Special algorithms required for geometry extraction
 - Implied element connectivity
 - Lower memory requirements
 - Faster algorithms
- Simplified algorithms for volume rendering
- Weather simulations
 - MM5
- CFD
 - Finite difference schemes
- Others
 - Medical (CAT,MRI)

