Experiences with the use of CrayPat in Performance Analysis

Mahesh Rajan Sandia National Laboratories, Albuquerque, NM

Cray User Group Seattle, WA; May 7-10, 2007

Sandia is a multi-program laboratory operated by Sandia Corporation, a Lockheed Martin Company, for the United States Department of Energy's National Nuclear Security Administration under contract DE-AC04-94AL85000.





Presentation Outline

- Use of CrayPat for application performance analysis
 - To help with understanding scaling characteristics to thousands of processors
 - For code tuning
 - As a tool for performance modeling
- Successful use of the tool with four applications with brief description of the applications
- Lessons learnt and challenges encountered in its use
- A few comparisons to other tools
- Performance comparisons to other High End Computing (HEC) systems to understand impact of architectural balance on scalability





Applications Investigated

- ICARUS DSMC Low density MC flow code
- POP Ocean Modeling
- LAMMPS Molecular Dynamics
- ITS MC Particle Radiation Transport
- Few simple math kernels
- HPCCG Sparse Solver/Conjugate gradient kernel



DSMC/ICARUS for MEMS Oscillating microbeam in low density fluid



Moving Micro devices; Rotating Gear, Comb Drives, pop-up mirror, Oscillating Microbeams



Oscillating Microbeam: Transient pressure fields: left, 250 ns; right, 750 ns

5/4/2007

4 M. Rajan Application Characteristics;

- Monte Carlo (DSMC) method is the only proven method for simulating non-continuum gas flows because continuum methods break down where particles move in ballistic trajectories with mean free path larger than cell dimensions, often because the device is small (micro-or nano-technology) or the fluid is very low pressure as in plasma or upper atmosphere
- Particles (simulators) are allowed to move, collide and exchange energy

.

٠

- Computation domain decomposed into cells and cells assigned to processors (scattered or geometric)
- Particle information is exchanged with the 'target' processor after each computation step

Acknowledgment: John Torczynski, Michail Gallis, Dan Rader, Steve Plimpton





DSMC Performance



The major computational stages at each time step are:	Property	Nominal Value
create particles move particles communicate particles that have moved to cell owned by another processor	Gas	Nitrogen
	Ambient pressure	84 kPa
if (mod(step,stat_out))print stat	Temperature	295 K
compute Monte Carlo collisions	Beam width	20 µm
solve EM field	Beam thickness	2 µm
output cell, surf data at requested frequency	Gap height	2 µm
Problem Parameters: 8125 simulators per cell/PE	Oscillation frequency	1 MHz
domain meshed with 52,000, 0.05-mm square cells time step is 0.1 ns and benchmark measures run time for1000 time steps	Velocity amplitude	1 m/s
5/4/2007 5	Microbeam p	properties





"pat build –g mpi" useful for modeling/analysis ICARUS-DSMC MEMS (low density flow) Code







CrayPat successful use to understand major computation times up to 2048 PE



aboratories



MPI_Reduce_scatter 41% at 2048 PEs

But load imbalance in 'move' impacts parallel Efficiency

📤 Applications Actions 🔗 🏽 🖉	8	🥥 Wed May 2, 10:24 AM 🔇	4 Application	ns Actions 🔗 🥸 🍣 🥃		🚺 Wed May 2, 10:26 AM 🔇
¥	Apprentice2 3.2	= 8 x	*		Apprentice2 3.2	= 5 X
Eile		Help	Eile			Help
<pre>\vectoricarus_redstorm+pat+17td.ap2</pre>			<pre>_icarus_redst</pre>	torm+pat+17td.ap2		
🌐 🦰 🔇 🧮 🕰			۰ 🗢 🌑	🗇 🗒 🗸		
▼Overview ▼Call Graph ▼Load Bala	ince		▼Overview	▼Call Graph ▼Load Balance		
PE	Load Balance: move_ Calls	Time (in secs)	PE	Load i Calls	Balance: mpi_reduce_scatter_	Time (in secs)
PE #0617			PE #1990			
PE #0618			PE #1991			
PE #0620			PE #1992 PF #1993			
PE #0621			PE #1995			
PE #0622			PE #1995			
PE #0623			PE #1996			
PE #0624			PE #1997			
PE #0625			PE #1998			
PE #0626			PE #1999			
PE #0628			PE #2000 PF #2001			
PE #0629			PE #2002			
PE #0630			PE #2003			
PE #0631			PE #2004			
PE #0632			PE #2005			
PE #0633			PE #2006			
PE #0634		•	PE #2007			
PE #0636			PE #2008			
PE #0637			PE #2010			
PE #0638			PE #2011			
PE #0639			PE #2012			
PE #0640			PE #2013			
PE #0641		-	PE #2014			
PE #0642		•	PE #2015			
PE #0644			PE #2017			
PE #0645			PE #2018			
PE #0646			PE #2019			
PE #0647			PE #2020			
1e+03	0.000860 1.5	5 .	16	e+03 0	0089 4	13 🗸
	Antiskanska 🗐 marine Omfanielle 🗋 Annantisel 2 2 2			an Geologia (M.)	-121	
🐃 🖓 📟 mrajane rsioginu1:~ 🔤 (mrajane	ninkmate. He milijane islognoz Apprentice2 3.2		raja 🖼 mraja	ane rsioginoz.~ 🔤 (miajane uninkmate: 🖬 miajane rsioginoz: 🗋 Apprentice	ez 3.2	



CrayPat Trace on 32PEs reveals communication patterns and overheads







Vampir used on Thunderbird for constructing a performance model





ICARUS; CrayPat – Lessons

Training at SNL (Lavesque & DeRose) was instrumental in much progress in the last 3 weeks

- Initial attempt at use with 'pat_build –u –g mpi' resulted in 17X longer run time
- 'pat_build -w -T move_, collide_, communicate_ -g mpi' used to selectively instrument key functions
 Soon to come 'profile' feature will help identify them
- PAT_RT_FILE_PER_PROCESS=1 needed to produce uncorrupted .xf file for greater than 1024 PEs
- Even with above and PAT_RT_RECORD_PE=4 results in corrupted .xf files for 2048 and above PEs
- PAT_RT_SUMMARY=0 for trace leads to large files and difficulties with apprentice2. Use with small PE count to understand time-line characteristics
- Big improvement in CrayPat 3.2 over previous versions



POP – Ocean Modeling Code

Standard Benchmark- single block 1-d data structures 3600x2400 global grid; Sensitivity to OS noise





POP; CrayPat data120 PEs Load Imbalance of MPI Global Operations

File	Apprentice2 3.2	
pop+pat+28td.ap2		
🌐 🦰 🍼 🏢 🏊		
▼Overview ▼Call Graph		
	MAIN	
	step_mod_step_	initial_initiatize_po
oclinic baroclinicbdrindary boundabodddd	the bandary 3d d	(c-1,76%)
(c=12.54%) $(c=1.14%)$	(c=1,7,1,%) mpi barrier (c=1,5,4,3,%)	(c=7,48%)
(c=12.22%) (c=1.14%)	(c=1127%) solver_ (c=1127%) (c=1127%)	.20% = 4.24%)
undary_boundary_2d_dbl_ (c=12,22%)	(c=10-22%) mpi_barrier_	
npi waitall (e=4.200.01 waitall_	global_reducti <mark>ons_global_caurdady[bound</mark> ary_2d _9ft46,4 6%) (c=12,70%) (c=2,52%)	
(et		
	(p=12.70%)	
4		
>> Search:		Go Q
Elle	Apprendez 32	
Overview Call Graph Load Balance		
	Load Balance: mpl_allreduce_ Time (in se	ics)
POVerview Call Graph Load Balance PE Calls PE #074 Calls	Load Balance: mpl_allreduce_ Time (in se	cs)
PE Calls PE #103 Calls	Load Balance: mpi_allreduce_ Time (in se	ics)
PE PE Calls PE #014 Calls Calls PE #014 Calls Calls PE #110 Calls Calls PE #014 Calls Calls PE #110 Calls Calls PE #015 Calls Calls PE #016 Calls Calls PE #016 Calls Calls	Load Balance: mpi_allreduceTime (in se	ics)
PE PE Call Graph Load Balance PE Calls PE #014 Calls PE #005 Calls PE #005 Calls PE #005 Calls PE #006 Calls PE #100 Calls	Load Balance: mpt_allreduceTime (in se	<.)
PE Calls PE #005 Calls PE #100 Calls PE #100 Calls PE #100 Calls PE #101 Calls PE #102 Calls PE #104 Calls PE #105 Calls PE #106 Calls PE #107 Calls	Load Balance; mpl_allreduce	(cs)
PE PE Callis PE # 0005 Callis PE # 110 Callis PE # 0005 Callis PE # 0006 Callis PE # 0004 Callis PE # 0004 Callis PE # 0003 Callis	Load Balance: mpl_sl/reduce_ Time (in se	cs)
Overview Call Graph Load Balance PE Calls PE #014 Calls PE #110 Calls PE #000 Calls PE #100 Calls PE #001 Calls PE #002 Calls	Load Balance: mpl_allreduceTime (in se	(ca)
PE POUR Calls PE PE PE Calls PE PE PE P	Load Balancei mpl_allreduce_ Time (in se	(cs)
PE PE Callis PE # 003 Callis Callis PE # 005 Callis Callis PE # 006 Callis Callis PE # 006 Callis Callis PE # 0064 Callis Callis PE # 0054 Callis Callis PE # 0054 Callis Callis PE # 0055 Callis Callis PE # 0057 Callis Callis	Load Balance: mpl_slireduce_ Time (in as	cs)
PE PE Calls PE #074 Calls PE #074 Calls PE #010 Calls PE #010 Calls PE #100 Calls PE #003 Calls <t< td=""><td></td><td><</td></t<>		<
Overview Calls PE Ford Database PE Calls PE # 0100	Load Balance: mpl_allreduce_ Time (in so	(cs)
PE Calls PE Calls <td>Load Balance: mpl_sl/reduce_ Time (n as</td> <td>(CS)</td>	Load Balance: mpl_sl/reduce_ Time (n as	(CS)
Overview Colling Colling PE Colling Colling PE # 074 Colling Colling PE # 014 Colling Colling PE # 110 Colling Colling PE # 100 Colling Colling PE # 001 Colling Colling PE # 002 Colling Colling PE # 003 Colling<		<
Overview Calls PE F PE Calls PE Calls </td <td>Load Balance: mpl_allreduce_ Time (in se</td> <td>(c)</td>	Load Balance: mpl_allreduce_ Time (in se	(c)
Overview Callie PE Callie P	Load Balance: mpl_sl/reduce	(m)
Column Column Column PE Column Column PE <t< td=""><td></td><td>(***)</td></t<>		(***)
••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		~=)
Column Column Column PE Column Column PE <t< td=""><td></td><td>2.10</td></t<>		2.10
Course Calls PE Calls	Load Balance: mpl_alfreduce_ Time (in article	
Courses & Calls & Call	Load Balance: mpl_alfreduce_ Time (in set all all all all all all all all all all	(cs)
Course (Call Graph * Load Balance PE # 010 PE # 000 PE # 000 PE # 100 PE # 000 PE # 100 PE # 100	Time (in set	
Course Co	Time (in and balance) mpl_alfreduce_ Time (in and balance) mpl_alfred	2.10
Collection Call Graph Collection Balance Calls	time (in set 13 M. Rajan	

POP; CrayPat 120 PEs







POP; CrayPat data; 120 PEs

Table 3: MPI Sent Message Stats by Caller

Sent Msg | Sent Msg | 256B<= | 4KB<= |64KB<= |Experiment=1 Total Bytes | Count | MsgSz | MsgSz | MsgSz |Function | | <4KB | <64KB | <1MB | Caller | | Count | Count | Count | PE[mmm]

247605586368 | 41971075 | 21762720 | 20208240 | 115 |Total ||||===== 3|| 81892274688 | 13883184 | 7198688 | 6684496 | -- |solvers pcg 4|| | solvers elliptic solver barotropic barotropic driver 5|| 6|| | step mod step 7|| MAIN 8|| main 11111111 771288000 | 128548 | 64274 | 64274 | -- |pe.33 9||||||| 771288000 | 128548 | 64274 | 64274 | -- |pe.100 9||||||| -- lpe.5 9||||||| 01 -- | -- |





POP; CrayPat – Lessons

- Initial attempt at use with 'pat_build –u –g mpi' resulted in core dumps at execution
- 'pat_build -w -T baroclinic_, barotropic_, solvers_, solvers_pcg_,solvers_cgr_ -g mpi' USed to selectively instrument key functions
 - Knowledge of application prior crayPat use helps
- PAT_RT_FILE_PER_PROCESS=1 needed to produce uncorrupted .xf file for even 120 PE runs
- PAT_RT_SUMMARY=0 for trace leads run time failures

 needs further investigation
- Want to use CrayPat to understand impact of OS noise on applications with frequent short parallel computations followed by small-message global operations





LAMMPS–Molecular Dynamics Code



- LAMMPS is a classical molecular dynamics
- models an ensemble of particles in a liquid, solid, or gaseous state
- can model atomic, polymeric, biological, metallic, granular, and coarse-grained systems
- variety of force fields and boundary conditions.
- can model systems with only a few particles up to millions or billions
- Lammps.sandia.gov for information on LAMMPS
- Benchmark:
 - lj.inp used in this study
 - weak scaling analysis with the Lennard-Jones liquid benchmark.
 - The dynamics of the atomic fluid with 864,000 atoms per processor for 100 time steps is measured
 - Other parameters used are: reduced density = 0.8442 (liquid), force cutoff = 2.5 sigma, neighbor skin = 0.3 sigma, neighbors/atom = 55 (within force cutoff), with NVE time integration





Good scaling because of good load balance and flat MPI overhead

Num. PEs	%MPI time (CrayPat)
32	1.5
64	2.1
128	1.5
256	2.1
512	1.8
1024	2
2048	2.4









"pat_build –u –g mpi" successful with close to 1500 functions

📤 Applications Actions 💽 🍩 😂 🖉 🎓 📁	🕘 Wed May 2, 3:10 PM 🍕
Apprentice2 3.2	= 8 ×
<u>File</u>	<u>H</u> elp
▼Imp_rs+pat+30td.ap2	
▼Overview ▼Call Graph	
	-
Run: wrap commandint.	Velocity:: wrap command(int,CreateAtoms:: wrap command(int,
Ruggcommand lints	Velocity:command(int, CreateAtoms:command(int,
Verteil av essenti	Velocity::_wrap.create(inCreateAtoms::_wrap.add_atom(double, (c=3.50%)
(c-statistant)	Velocity:create(int, CreateAtoms::add_atom(double, (c=3.39%,e=0.11%) (c=2.51%,e=0.59%)
Verlet:: wrap_iterate(int) Verlet:: wrap_setup() (c=80,48%) (c=3.78%)	Velocity::_wrap triple(double, Atom::_wrap create_one(int, (c=3,03%)
Veriet::iterate(int) Veriet::setup() (c=89,47%, e=0.00%) (c=3.78%, e=0.00%)	Velocity::triple(double, Atom::create_one(int, (c=2.31%,e=0.99%) (c=1.68%,e=0.40%)
Neighborg to the build() Modify::wrag the start to the start of the	RanPark:: wrap uniform() Atom:: wrap grow(int) (c=1,68%)
Neightsonsbuild() Modify::fina Integrate the system of a sympanicate() Modify::fina Integrate the system of a syst	RanPark::uniform() Atom::grow(int) (e=1.90%) (c=1.68%,e=0.00%)
Neighbor::wraptmisi_bin_newton() FixNVE::wraptmisi_bintegratMighbor::wraptmisi_bintegratMighbor::wraptmisi_bin_newton()	Memory::_wrap_grow 2d double_array(double (c=1,41%)
Neighbor::particip newton() (c=4.0%) FixNVE::rinal_Integrate() FixNVE::rinal_Integrate() Neighbor::particip. (c=4.0%) (c=4.2%) (c=2.2%) (c=2.2%)	Memory::grow 2d double array(double (c=1.18%e=0.23%)
hbor:wrat_Stord2bill(diploter:wrat_stord2bill(diploter:wrat_stord2bill(diploter:wrat_stord2bill(diploter:wrat_stord2bill(diploter:wrat_stord2bill(diploter:wrat_stord2bill(diploter:wrat_stord2bill(diploter:wrat_stord2bill(diploter:wrat_stord2bill(diploter:wrat_stord2bill(diploter:wrat_stord2bill(diploter:_wrat_stord2bill(diploter:_wrat_stord2bill(diploter:_wrat_stord2bill(diploter:_wrat_stord2bill(diploter:_wrat_stord2bill(diploter:_wrat_stord2bill(diploter:_wrat_stord2bill(diploter:_wrat_stord2bill(diploter:_wrat_stord2bill(diploter:wrat_sto	Memory::_wrap_srealloc(void (c=1,18%)
Neighbor:ccard2bin(doubNeighbor;Bin, atoms() (<2.32%) (<2.32%)	Memory:Spealloc(void (e=1.18%)
Neighbor::wrate_bord2bin(double	
Neighbor::coard2bin/double	
>> Search:	Go Q Q
🧑 🛙 📾 mrajan@rslogin01: 🖾 mrajan@thinkmate:~ 🖾 mrajan@rslogin01: 🖾 [mrajan@thinkmate:- 🖾 mrajan@rsclogin01: 🗋 Apprentice2	2 3.2





LAMMPS – CrayPat Analysis 2X performance improvement with small pages







LAMMPS – CrayPat Analysis

Table 1: Profile by Function Group and Function

Time % Time Imb. Time Imb. Calls Group Time % Function PE='HIDE'	
100.0% 194.741639 651228062 Total 	Small fraction of time in MPI
 77.6% 148.112593 3.001152 2.1% 3232 PairLJCut:compute(int, int) 8.7% 16.511221 0.157160 1.0% 192 Neighbor:pair_bin_newton()	
===================================	
75.3% 3.009888 2.180590 43.4% 39744 MPI_Send 18.1% 0.725386 2.562926 80.5% 39744 MPI_Wait 5.1% 0.202229 0.062096 24.3% 1216 MPI_Allreduce 0.6% 0.022056 0.000839 3.8% 1792 MPI_Bcast	

Table 3: MPI Sent Message Stats by Caller

Sent Msg | Sent | MsgSz | 4KB<= | 64KB<= | 1MB<= |Function Total Bytes | Msg | <16B | MsgSz | MsgSz | MsgSz | Caller | Count | Count | <64KB | <1MB | <16MB | PE[mmm] | | Count | Count | Count |

25619726416 | 41856 | 2272 | 2 | 38462 | 1120 |Total

, | 25619717968 | 39744 | 160 | 2 | 38462 | 1120 |MPI_Send**4**

|| || 12379279464 | 19392 | -- | -- | 19392 | -- |Comm:reverse_communicate() 3| | | | | | | Comm: wrap reverse communicate()

||||-------4||| 12256252776 | 19200 | -- | -- | 19200 | -- |Verlet:iterate(int) MPI_send msg sizes are fairly large







ITS-Particle Radiation Transport Problem Investigated

- Satellite combinatorial geometry model; 600 CG bodies
- Calculations performed for this work were adjoint point estimation of KERMA (Kinetic Energy Released per unit Mass
- Asses energy deposition at a point inside of an electronics box in the satellite
- Figure illustrates the dosage computations where the pixels are angular bins of the source directions and the levels are dose values at the same point on the object.





Scaling Study and Model

- Geometry replicated on all the processors
- Master/Worker computations
 - Statistical tally data collected by Master after each batch of computations
- 3.2 million histories per processor, weak scaling analysis

$$T_{communication} = T_{setup} + T_{tally}$$
$$T_{compute} \equiv N_{ph} * T_{hist} / P$$





Compute time is proportional to number of histories and Measured on each platform







VAMPIR trace permitted construction of communication model







5/4/2007





Communication Model

- Master-Worker; Many to one; tally data sent to master
- T_{comm.} = {2 * T₄₈ + T₄₈₀₀₀ + T₄₃₂ + T_{16M} + T₃₆₈ } * num_batches * (p-1)
- Input: Latency, Bandwidth(Pt-to-Pt), num_procs(p), num_batches
- Dominant Message size is a function of (maximum Azimuthal, Polar angle, energy bins for escape photon, maximum surface source distributions, num materials, num fluorescence lines)





Model Evaluated on ASC Red, Cplant, Vplant and ICC cluster







ITS on Red Storm, Parallel Efficiency Measured and Modeled







ITS Model Study – Explains efficiency as related to balance factor (serial overhead = f) (at 512 cpus)

System	Pt-to-pt BW MB/s	Pt-to-pt Latency, usec	Computation time, secs	Communication time, secs	Overhead, Parallel Efficiency, f & (1/1+f)
Red Storm (Apr. 06)	1156	6	246.92	19.44	0.078, 0.927
Janus	330	18	1673	53.20	0.03, 0.97
ICC	245	6.8	108	69	0.63, 0.61
VPLANT	209	7.9	156	83	0.53, 0.65
CPLANT	76	40	334	237	0.70, 0.58





ITS – Monte Carlo Particle transport

function 'dista_' used to track particle in the zone/object geometry; has nested condition blocks; 'ran_' psuedo-random number generator;







ITS – Monte Carlo Particle transport

Need further RT_HWPC investigations to improve serial performance

🗢 Applications Actions 😪 🥸 🖏	🧶 🌍 🛛 🖻	🥥 Wed May 2, 1:05 PM 🔇
~	Apprentice2 3.2	- 6 ×
<u>F</u> ile		<u>H</u> elp
vits.x+pat+34td.ap2		
		1
🌐 🦰 📎 🏢 🏊		
Qverview Call Graph Load B	alance Function Text Report	
• overview • can orapin • coud bi		
BY HITS:		
func	hits	
0. ran_	890530344	
1. dista_	666431103	
2. ephist_	115107430	
3. zonea_	102400000	
4. fold_	22350842	
5. store_	12707430	
6. indsrf_	9888438	
7. kop_	6661	
8. parm_	5958	
9. flsize_	4215	
10. PAT_null_inner_exit	3200	
11. PAT_null_inner_init	3200	
12. PAT_null_outer_exit	3200	
13. PAT_null_outer_init	3200	
14. opread_	1835	
15. kopp_	1732	
16. mpi_bcast_	768	
17. chkmat_	521	
18. mpi_send_	310	
19. mpi_recv_	310	
20. mpi_isend_	248	
21. mpi_irecv_	248	
22. timer_	227	
23. mpi_wtime_	102	
24. zero_	192	
25. mpi_waitatt_	100	
20. msgpas_2_	124	
28 init	65	
29. output	64	
	••	
🐟 🛛 🖾 mrajan@rslogin01:. 🖾 mrajan	@ thinkmate: 🔲 mrajan@ rslogin01: 🗐 [mrajan@ thinkmate 🗐 mrajan@ rsclogin01: 🗋 Apprentice2 3.2	



Single CPU Performance Tuning and PAPI analysis

- Comparison of single processor execution time:
 - Opteron 2GHz: L1=64KB, L2=1MB
 - Power3, 375 MHz, L1=64KB (Data); 32KB (Ins), L2=8MB
 - Itanium-2, 1.4GHz, L1=32KB, L2=256KB, L3=3MB

Processor	Opteron	Power3	Itanium
Exec. time, secs	1.69	6.51	3.91

• Compute time does not significantly reduce with cache size

• GPROF shows On Itanium *dista_* children:

• gg(56%), loczon(10%), and locbod(7%)

• GPROF shows on Opteron *dista_* children :

• gg(70%), loczon(9%), and locbod(6%).

• Subroutine *gg* mainly consists of branches for different geometries such a polyhedron, sphere, cone, cylinder, etc. Further within the computations for each geometrical body there are branches to compute intersection of particle trajectory lines with geometry component surfaces and for different directions of travel.



PAPI data shows load/store and branch instructions constitute large percentage of total instructions

PAPI DATA	IA-64, 1.4GHz	Power3, 375MHz	Opteron, 2.0GHz
TOTAL CYCLES	5,471,391,792	2,524,426,100	3,841,925,011
TOTAL INSTRUCTIONS	8,348,552,835	3,022,782,250	4,627,544,804
% Floating point ins or ops	0.026	0.052	0.040
% Load instructions	0.305	0.312	N/A
% Store Instructions	0.251	0.235	N/A
% Branch Instructions	0.084	0.137	0.199
% Integer Instructions	N/A	0.376	N/A
% Unaccounted ins	0.334	-0.112	0.761

- Cycles-per-instruction for both the Power 3 and Opteron is close to 0.83, while it is 0.65 for the Itanium
- Small percentage of floating point instructions



Single Processor Performance improvement

- No easy choice of code modifications to improve performance
- Need to improve cache temporal locality, but the structure of the code containing major loop over the histories, suggests that *dista* computations would invoke bringing different geometry data into cache
- Compiler optimization on Power3 using interprocedural analysis (*ipa*) yielded 47% improvement.
- Similar *ipa* options on Opteron and IA-64 yielded negligible performance improvement



ITS; CrayPat – Lessons

- CrayPat/HWPC much easier to use than prior use approaches with PAPI-API
 - Code dominated by non-floating point ops; AMD needs to provide load, store, integer counters
- Need further experimentation with trace
 - One 32 PE trace file was 100 GB; .ap2 took a very long time to load into apprentice
- Vampir like message statistics plot will be useful; also ability to click and look at message characteristics in zoomed trace plots helpful for performance modeling





Use of CrayPat/PAPI to understand performance LAMMPS; ICARUS; SAGE

	LAMMPS – 1PE	ICARUS – 32 PE	SAGE – 1PE
Total Cycles	438334149027	23022223454	146844868231
Total Instructions	322843999218	855901630778	70445132839
Floating point ins.	159193963401	154415317565	12306664167
Branch Instructions	18035055818	76266692411	6753460052
Run time	182.63 secs	9.592 secs	61.18 secs
MFLOPS	871 (18.2%of peak)	16097 (10.5%of peak)	201.13 (4.2% of peak)
%Floating point Ins.	49%	18.04%	17.47%
%branch Ins.	5.6%	8.9%	9.58%
Computational intensity	0.92 ops/ref	0.51 ops/ref	0.42 ops/ref





Single cpu simple code hardware counter data with CrayPat

Single CPU reference measures with PAT_RT_HWPC=1,2,3,4				
code	3dFFT; 256x256x256	matmul 500x500	QR Fact. N=2350	HPCCG; sparseMV;100x100x100
Comp. Inten;ops/ref	1.33	1.71	1.68	0.64
MFLOPS/pat	952	4159	3738	352
MFLOPS code	1370	4187	4000	276
percent peak	19.8	86.7	77.9	7.3
fpOps/TLB miss	841.6515146	9040759.488	697703.9649	14.05636016
fpOps/D1 cache miss	25.5290058	167.9364898	144.9081716	10.24364227
fpOps/DC_MISS	29.42427018	170.5178224	149.9578195	11.1702481
ops/cycle	0.4	1.75	1.56	0.15



Use of Cray_pat to understand performance; Mike Heroux's' Sparse Matrix CG solver to compare GFLOPS

Num. Of PEs	64	128	256	512	1024	2048
Code Inst. GFLOPS	15.3	28.0	56.3	111.8	224	472
PAPI Measure: GFLOPS	13.4	24.8	49.8	98.8	197	450

PAT_RT_HWPC=1 used in tests

Comparison gives confidence in use of Cray pat for GFLOPS count

pat_report version 3.0 fails at > 2048 PEs

Release 3.2 much more robust for > 2048 PEs

yod -VN used (both core used in test)

~11% difference; cray_pat measure includes other setup times not accounted in code instrumentation



Conclusions

- Ease of use is very nice!
- CrayPat and Apprentice are both feature rich!
- Helping with developing performance model for DSMC-ICARUS
- Helped to validate ITS performance model
- 'profile' feature in future release will help improve productivity
- Limited experience with trace, but nice to see features like in VAMPIR – robustness needs improvement?
- Large PE experiments showed lustre/file corruption problems
- Early experiments have been successful with a number of applications, but anticipate the tool will be stressed with SNL's SIERRA codes



Planned use of CrayPat

- Try to quantify the gap between peak performance and sustained; It is widening
 - Multi-core archichitecture racing ahead of concurrency
 - Memory bottlenecks
- Performance modeling
- Tool for capability computing, to identify scaling limitations and remedies
- Next generation architecture research; Impact of architectural balance



