Improving the Performance of COSMO-CLM

Matthew Cordery, William Sawyer
Swiss National Supercomputing Centre

Ulrich Schättler
Deutscher Wetterdienst
What is COSMO-CLM?

- COSMO is an operational non-hydrostatic meso-to-micro scale NWP system.
  - Used by MeteoSwiss, DWD, etc.

- The COSMO model in CLimate Mode (COSMO-CLM or CCLM) is a non-hydrostatic regional climate model.
COSMO NWP Applications

DWD (Offenbach, Germany): NEC SX-8R, SX-9

MeteoSwiss: Cray XT4: COSMO-7 and COSMO-2 use 800+4 MPI-Tasks on 402 out of 448 dual core AMD nodes

ARPA-SIM (Bologna, Italy): Linux-Intel x86-64 Cluster for testing (uses 56 of 120 cores)

USAM (Rome, Italy): HP Linux Cluster XEON biproc quadcore System in preparation

Roshydromet (Moscow, Russia), SGI

NMA (Bucharest, Romania): Still in planning / procurement phase

IMGW (Warsawa, Poland): SGI Origin 3800: uses 88 of 100 nodes

ARPA-SIM (Bologna, Italy): IBM pwr5: up to 160 of 512 nodes at CINECA

COSMO-LEPS (at ECMWF): running on ECMWF pwr6 as member-state time-critical application

HNMS (Athens, Greece): IBM pwr4: 120 of 256 nodes
Goal

- An operation NWP prediction forecast for 24 hours make take half an hour of CPU time
- By extension, a 100 year climate simulation on a similar grid would take several months of CPU time.
- Our goal is to examine different hybrid programming schemes that will provide a substantial CPU time savings without requiring extensive use of machine resources.
- First step:
  - Examine mixed programming model using MPI and OpenMP.
• The computational grid is a 3D rotated-latitude/longitude structured grid.

• Communications are through 2- or 3-line halo exchanges.

• Many loops are of the form

```
    do k = 1, ke
        do j = 1, je
            do i = 1, ie
                ...
            end do
        end do
    end do
```

• Some 2D and 4D arrays, but of the same basic structure.
Main computational region is a time stepping loop over

- a **dynamical core** that solves for fluid motion
- a **physical core** that computes radiation transfer, precipitation, etc.

- Examine scaling of 1-km to elucidate ‘hotspots’.
  - 1142 longitude points
  - 765 latitude points
  - 90 vertical points
  - 3 halo grid points
  - 4 I/O tasks
  - 1 hour of simulated time
1km observations

- Computational intensity is low (<1) for most top routines and the cache hit ratio is > 95%.
- Good scaling out to several thousand cores, though performance is rather low.
- Implies algorithms are memory-bandwidth limited.
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Scaling observations

- Physical and dynamical cores scale well out to > 2000 cores
- Dynamical core takes approximately 3x more time than the physical core, regardless of core count.
- I/O and MPI communications are not limiting factors

Profiling indicates that during the main time stepping loop
  - I/O and MPI communications are not limiting factors
  - The dynamical core requires 3x more time than the physical core, regardless of core count
Hybridization path

- Most computational work is encapsulated in multiply-nested loops in multiple subroutines that are called from a main driver loop.
- Most outer loops are over the number of levels, inner loops over latitude/longitude.
- Insert OpenMP PARALLEL DO directives on outermost loop
  - Also attempted use of OpenMP 3.0 COLLAPSE directive.
  - Over 600 directives inserted.
  - Also enabled use of SSE instructions on all routines (previously only used on some routines).
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Observations

- Important to have as much of the code as possible compiled to use SSE instructions.
- Important not to overuse COLLAPSE directive which may interfere with compiler optimization.
- Code runs approximately as fast as the MPI only version for most core counts.
- Dynamical and physical cores scale well, though the physical core shows a much more pronounced loss of scaling beyond 4 threads.
- Reducing the number of I/O tasks improves performance (why?) and reduces idle cores.
In order to examine the results more similar to what will be used for a 100 year climate science run:

- 102 latitudes
- 102 longitudes
- 60 height levels
- 1 I/O task
- Run for 24 simulated hours.
Observations

- Relatively weak (<10%) improvement over standard MPI code.
- Best results at 3 or 6 threads.
- Performance decrease for 4 threads where threads from one MPI task will span a node.
- Performance decrease going from six to twelve threads indicates still some performance bottlenecks.
- Loop level parallelism can achieve some modest performance gains
  - Can require many threaded loops -> OpenMP overhead
  - Can require a lot more software engineering to maintain
    - Introducing new private variables into old loops
    - Introducing new physics that needs to be threaded
  - Can be problematic when dealing with threads that include arrays created using Fortran allocate statement.

- Next task is to examine threading and blocking at a higher level. This will require more extensive work to the code.
  - Improve data re-use
  - Reduce memory footprint

- Investigate new algorithms