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Abstract—The ever-growing scope of extreme-scale supercomputers requires an increasing volume of component-local metrics to better understand their systemic behavior. The collection and analysis of these metrics have become data-intensive tasks in their own right, the products of which inform system support activities critical to ongoing operations. With recent emphasis being placed on topology-awareness as a step towards better coping with extreme scale, the ability to visualize complex topology data has become increasingly valuable, particularly for the visualization of multidimensional tori. Several independent efforts to produce similar visualizations exist, but they have typically been in-house developments tailor-made for very specific purposes; and not trivially applicable to visualization needs not featured among those purposes. In contrast, a more general-purpose tool offers benefits that ease understanding of many interrelated aspects of a system’s behavior, such as application performance, job node placement, and network traffic patterns. Perhaps more significantly, such a tool can offer analysts insight into the complex topological relationships shared among these considerations; relationships that are often difficult to quantify by any other means.

We present TorusVis, a general-purpose visualization tool applicable to a wide variety of topology-related data presentation scenarios. Its general-purpose software architecture lends itself well to rapid prototyping of various data presentation concepts as well as publishing fully featured visualizations. We describe several key design elements and implementation strategies, and how they strike a balance between usability, generality, and simplicity. Furthermore, we present use case studies where the capabilities available in TorusVis aided understanding of system behavior in ways not possible, otherwise.
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I. INTRODUCTION

System monitoring is an absolutely vital task for effectively operating HPC resources. Whether they are support staff helping users optimize their applications, maintenance personnel detecting and resolving issues, or administrators reconfiguring system behavior, detailed system metrics empower both operators and users to maximize the productive value of their computing resources. As the capabilities of modern systems are pushed further towards exascale, so too are the complexities involved with the collection, analysis, and representation of operational metrics data.

For example, the placement of applications on a system’s compute network can give clues about application performance. Information about which nodes are used by an application, the placement of those nodes on the communication fabric, the relative location of other applications, and the relative location of service nodes can be used to better characterize application performance and provide insight on the modifications best suited for maximizing it. Application placement and performance data can be further augmented with system data (e.g. from event logs) and better guide diagnostic efforts.

All of this information is readily available on most HPC systems, but in addition to the growing costs of collecting, storing, and curating it; HPC support staff are faced with the challenge of representing analysis results in a form accessible by human comprehension. One natural choice is an interactive 3D visualization where the system topology is represented by a graph mesh whose visual characteristics, such as node color, size, and shape, are modulated to convey the operational data of interest to an analyst.

In this paper, we discuss the topology data visualization work performed at NCSA in support of the Blue Waters project. We present several realized usage scenarios and discuss how our early software prototypes empowered operations staff to better understand system behavior and application performance. We also discuss some hypothetical capabilities for other anticipated use cases, and their potential value to the HPC community. This potential motivates our recent efforts to channel the development experiences gained into a new modular and general-purpose topology data visualization software library we have named “TorusVis”. We briefly outline the core software design of TorusVis, and conclude with our future plans, which include releasing it for the community under an open-source license.

II. CASE STUDIES

The display of the placement of user jobs and system resources in relation to the communication topology has a number of uses. For example, job performance may be impacted by the location of the job nodes in relation to each other and system resources. A variety of information can be displayed in addition to just node placement. Network traffic, for example, is an important metric that, if visualized over time on the network can reveal patterns that may aid the
Figure 1. Visualization of an irregularly-shaped job node placement. The links among the various nodes are mapped to color and transparency values based on a heuristic model that estimates the relative congestion for an all-to-all communication pattern. This rendering demonstrates a priori analysis capabilities made possible by topology visualization tools.

Figure 2. Application performance (in GFLOPS) for several 256-node runs of a GPU-enabled version of HPL performed over a period of about three months. Performance varies by almost a factor of two in the best-to-worst case comparison, despite there being no changes in job configuration.

analyst in improving application performance. An example of this capability is illustrated in Figure 1.

Our early work on visualization application prototypes was primarily motivated by a series of studies performed with partners from Cray and Adaptive Computing on the run time consistency of applications ran on the Blue Waters system. We observed that many applications required highly variable amounts of compute time for seemingly identical runs. We began to investigate system factors that might contribute to this variability, and identified job node placement as a factor that was likely to affect job run time. We hypothesized that jobs with node placements that were more compact would perform better than those with placements more spread throughout the torus. We proposed several metrics in an attempt to quantify the compactness of these placements, such as maximum, average, or a profile of hop counts among node pairs. However, we found that the correlation between each of our tested metrics and job run time were weak, and that they were poor performance predictors. We considered other likely factors, such as interfering traffic from other jobs, or external system events, and realized that job run time was likely a non-trivial function of all of these considerations. We turned to visualization as a way to observe the data collected on each of these aspects and identify trends intuitively.

We found that job node placement did, indeed, have a major impact on run time, but often in ways that were not simple to quantify. Figure 2 shows application performance for several runs of HPL that were identical except for the placement of their job’s nodes. The best performing job achieved a performance of 197.5 TFLOPS and outperformed the 111.2 TFLOPS performance of the worst performing job by nearly a factor of two. Figure 3 shows the node placements for both cases. The visualizations show that
the worst performing job used a node allocation of two distinct, segregated regions; most likely resulting in high communication overhead. Although the best performing job used an allocation that, in an apparent, albeit qualitative sense, was more compact, it too had a few outlying nodes. The latter allocation was clearly superior to the former, though to an extent not well represented through purely quantitative analysis.

Another use for topology visualization is for showing the system resources on the torus. This is particularly useful when warm swapping components in and out of the system. Maintenance staff must exercise extreme caution when servicing the system to ensure that the correct components are pulled out. Accidentally removing operational hardware may cause holes in the torus network that could result in unrouteable conditions. System components must be warm swapped very carefully to avoid adversely impacting running applications. Our topology visualization applications aid maintenance staff in verifying the location of target components.

As another use case, the location of the largest running jobs can be shown by visualization tools as an indication of the system utilization. A portal plugin has been developed for this purpose. Figure 4 shows a screen shot of the Blue Waters portal system status page. The page shows, among other things, the location and identification of the ten largest jobs on the system at the time the page was loaded. Refreshing the page reloads new information. The node placements are color coded by job. User information is also provided and colored to match their particular job’s nodes. The arrangement of nodes is surrounded by a bounding box. The color of the box edges indicates the direction of the torus. Red edges run in the X direction, green edges in the Y direction, and blue edges in the Z direction. One can see the bias in job distribution away from the Y direction. This direction has less communication performance than the other directions.

In order to understand the utility of the system, we describe briefly the data collection and display mechanisms used, here. In the context of this work, the data collection is done by mining system logs and placing the data in a database. In particular, the job scheduler system logs contain information about when jobs start and end as well as what nodes are used. This dynamic information is read and stored at regular intervals. Other static information such as the location of compute nodes on the communication fabric and the location of service nodes in the fabric is also stored in the database. Delivery of this representation to a geographically
distributed user base can be most easily accomplished via a web based interface, so the viewer extracts near real-time data and displays the information on a web browser.

III. ARCHITECTURE

Due to its broad applicability, many desirable features or capabilities were suggested during our early design and prototyping efforts. We began to notice a number of recurring requirements that many of our use cases shared in common. For TorusVis, we identified these requirements, and set out to meet them as our primary design goals.

A. Requirements

Our highest priority goal was to design TorusVis to be generic, and applicable to as many topology data presentation scenarios as possible. During our initial study on application run time consistency, we had many small codes that would produce some form of visualization of the Blue Waters torus topology. Each would do so in a different way, and emphasize certain features or attributes that serve slightly different purposes. They were created for a specific use and often required significant, involved changes when new needs were identified. We also learned that some of our industry collaborators were working on their own similar set of torus visualization tools, and noted that between us, there were at least half a dozen different in-house tools producing slightly different visualizations of what was essentially the same subject. We set out to create a software library that could replace most of the functionality of these disparate applications with a single general-purpose code base.

To promote this broad applicability, we designed TorusVis to be extensible and flexible. For every major step of the topology visualization process, the default behavior can be extended or completely replaced with application-specific logic. Our design identifies three of these features and formulates the process as a data flow between layers, one for each step (section III-C). Each layer provides a set of commonly used data structures and routines and serve as extension points for applications. This flexibility allows many users to adapt TorusVis to their specific needs while also taking advantage of the core visualization functionality needed for most applications.

Finally, despite being extensible and generic, we try to keep TorusVis simple where practical. We target a user audience that primarily consists of domain experts and administrators; users that may not be willing or able to devote time to learning the details of a complex software design. Furthermore, we expect that some applications and visualizations produced will target project stakeholders or a more public audience, such as in the case where they are used as a dissemination tool. TorusVis must be simple in design to promote improvements throughout development, expose a simple API that is readily extensible for applications, and facilitate applications with wide accessibility.

Wherever possible, the barrier to entry for development, application, and presentation is kept to a minimum.

B. Features

TorusVis is a software library for web applications in JavaScript and accessible over a web browser. We note that JavaScript enjoys a simplified object model that lends itself well to developing flexible asynchronous applications and promotes fast turn-around time for the write-deploy-test cycle typical of most agile development styles. TorusVis uses the 3D graphics library, three.js[1], which enables rendering with native graphics hardware for browsers that support it (see: WebGL[2]). It is entirely front-end code, leaving the task of accessing data from back-end stores to applications.

C. Design

The design of TorusVis is centered around a set of high-level steps we’ve identified as common to most topology data visualization applications. The API is logically split into three major layers, each corresponding to step in the visualization process (Figure 5). The steps can be described as 1 – collecting data in generic structures, 2 – mapping these data structures to concrete forms, and 3 – reducing the data into a visualization. We’ve found that this workflow matches well with a large range of applications, provides ample opportunity for domain-specific extensions, and is simple to understand.

The data layer is the first and is concerned with providing graph data structures and high-level visualization primitives. Here, the nodes and edges of the system topology are defined. Additional arbitrary data can be associated with nodes or edges as attributes. For visualization, one or more
sets of nodes or edges are also defined in the form of groups. Groups are selections of a subset of a graph’s nodes or edges that are to be rendered, and are also associated with a set of options that control the visual characteristics at a high level, such as color, size, and shape. Groups can be used to represent the set of nodes in a job’s allocation, a set of links along a path of interest, or any set of components that match a criteria.

The mapping layer provides the tools to transform abstract topology data collected in the data layer to a concrete form more suitable for visualization. It is primarily concerned with defining an embedding of the given topology that associates each node with a point in 3D space, and each edge with a set of such points along which a path may be drawn. For example, a simple mapper might just query the attributes of each node, or another might apply an automatic graph layout algorithm. Other examples include mappers that provide different “views” of the same data, or mappers that apply spacial transformations, such as cycling the nodes of a torus topology along a periodic dimension, or wrapping a cartesian embedding into one in a polar space to reinforce the periodicity (Figure 6).

The output layer provides the visualization capabilities. An instance of the output layer encapsulates a specific routine that produces a rendering from the information in the first two layers. Recall that the layers can be extended, and therefore can be made to exhibit application-specific behavior that might not match with their intended use. This possibility is especially relevant for the output layer, where implementations are at liberty to produce any manner of visualization, or even content that are not visualizations, at all. While not the intended use, there are no restrictions in our design of TorusVis that would prevent output layer implementations from creating other charts, tables of summary statistics, or audio clips if client applications were so inclined.

IV. DISCUSSION

Analysis and visualization of system data offer essential guidance in maximizing the productive value of HPC resources. The growing scale of modern systems have placed greater emphasis on topological considerations that require new methods and tools to better understand. We described our early topology visualization applications, initially created for our study of application run time consistency, and demonstrate their value in a number of use case studies. As more small and disparate visualization applications were created for various purposes, the value in a general purpose tool became apparent. We channeled the development experiences gained while creating our early prototypes into a software library we call “TorusVis”. We discuss the design of TorusVis, and how it meets our requirements for generality, flexibility, and simplicity.

The design of TorusVis allows applications to replace or supplement most provided functionality with application-or domain-specific behavior. This flexibility has great potential to support a broad range of use cases as well as provide a platform for future research and development. For example, we found that characterizing the relationship between job node placement and application performance through rigorous, quantitative assessment is exceptionally difficult and highly application-specific in nature. TorusVis applications can help users prepare and run their jobs in ways more topologically-aware, by offering them an intuitive and qualitative sense of this relationship, leading to better informed preferences for node sets, allocation shapes, and other system features. Submitting computational workloads in more topology-sensitive configurations might decrease average job turnaround time, increase overall utilization, and reduce the risk and impact of failures.

TorusVis is still very young in its development. Our future plans are to complete a modest number of features still missing from our early prototypes and release the library under an open-source license. The potential in having a tool that supports such a large class of HPC systems operations tasks developed over a collaborative, open access medium should not be understated. We feel the HPC community have only recently begun to seriously contend with topology issues, and applying combined and focused efforts that benefit all stakeholders should be preferred over individual disparate developments. We hope to promote our own efforts, but also engage the broader community – to initiate an open dialogue on topology issues and collective efforts towards unified solutions.

We hope to further explore possibilities in topology visualization research by applying TorusVis to other networking technologies and system topologies, such as the Gordon system at the San Diego Supercomputer Center, an infini-band torus network, future systems using Cray’s “Dragonfly” technology, and also smaller-scale fat tree topologies.

V. PRIOR WORK

Collections of system monitoring data often hold key insights that inform efforts to operate HPC resources as well as optimally exploit them for domain applications [3]. For example, fine-grained data at the component level can help maintenance staff identify and correct failures; and users to determine optimization strategies that are most promising. As the scale of HPC systems increase and the number of their components continue to grow, the respective increase in system data have necessitated new methods and tools to analyze [4], [5], [6]. Visualizing analysis results in multiple contexts or domains has been shown to more clearly highlight their important features [6], [7]. In particular, understanding network traffic patterns is of great importance for many applications, and visualizing these patterns on multiple 2D and 3D views that resemble the topology of
the system interconnect provides insight valuable to both application developers and performance engineers [8].

Our current development focuses on working with directed graph structures, a representation we expect would be general enough to be adapted to most topology data sets. We also note that visualizations of similar data, but in less generic forms (Gantt charts, timeline views, scatter plots, etc.) are already extensively covered by existing methods and tools [9], [10], [11], [12], [13], [14], [15], [16], [17], [18], [19], [20], [21], [22], [23]. Prior software systems for analyzing graph data have typically combined graph layout algorithms and visualization features [24], [25], [26], [27], [28], [29]. We chose not to focus on graph layout features since in the most common use cases, users will already have one of possibly several layouts predetermined, such as that of the interconnect topology, one depicting the virtual topology of an application, or a physical map of where the system hardware components lie in a data center. Despite this omission, we suspect that automatic graph layout capabilities will eventually prove to be a worthwhile addition and another avenue for future research and development.
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