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Convergence of Disruptive Technology

- Increasing Role of Data
- Converging HPC and Data Platforms
- New Models of Application Development and Delivery
DOE Facilities Require Exascale Computing and Data

- Petabyte data sets today, many growing exponentially
- Processing requirements grow super-linearly
- Need to move entire DOE workload to Exascale
## Popular features of a data intensive system and supporting them on Cori

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data Intensive Workload Need</th>
<th>Cori Solution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Local Disk</td>
<td>NVRAM ‘burst buffer’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large memory nodes</td>
<td>128 GB/node on Haswell; Option to purchase fat (1TB) login node</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Massive serial jobs</td>
<td>NERSC serial queue prototype on Edison; MAMU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complex workflows</td>
<td><strong>User Defined Images</strong>&lt;br&gt;CCM mode&lt;br&gt;Large Capacity of interactive resources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communicate with databases from compute nodes</td>
<td>Advanced Compute Gateway Node</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stream Data from observational facilities</td>
<td>Advanced Compute Gateway Node</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Easy to customize environment</td>
<td><strong>User Defined Images</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Policy Flexibility</td>
<td>Improvements coming with Cori: Rolling upgrades, CCM, MAMU, above COEs would also contribute</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
User-Defined Images

• User-Defined Images (UDI): A software framework which enables users to accompany applications with portable, customized OS environments
  – e.g., include ubuntu base system with Application built for ubuntu (or debian, centos, etc)

• A UDI framework would:
  – Enable the HPC Platforms to run more applications
  – Increase flexibility for users
  – Facilitate reproducible results
  – Provide rich, portable environments without bloating the base system
Use Cases

• **Large high energy physics collaborations (e.g., ATLAS and STAR) requiring validated software stacks**
  – Some collaborations will not accept results from non-validated stacks
  – Simultaneously satisfying compatibility constraints for multiple projects is difficult
  – Solution: create images with certified stacks

• **Bioinformatics and cosmology applications with many third-party dependencies**
  – Installing and maintaining these dependencies is difficult
  – Solution: create images with dependencies

• **Seamless transition from desktop to supercomputer**
  – Users desire consistent environments
  – Solution: create an image and transfer it among machines
Value Proposition for UDI for Cori

• **Expanded application support**
  – Many applications currently relegated to commodity clusters could run on the Cray through UDI
  – This will help position Cori as a viable platform for data-intensive

• **Easier application porting**
  – The need for applications to be “ported” to Cori will be reduced
  – More applications can work “out of the box”

• **Better Reproducibility**
  – Easier to re-instantiate an older environment for reproducing results
UDI Design Goals for Cori

- User independence: Require no administrator assistance to launch an application inside an image
- Shared resource availability (e.g., PFS/DVS mounts and network interfaces)
- Leverages or integrates with public image repos (i.e. DockerHub)
- Seamless user experience
- Robust and secure implementation
Background
Implementation Options

Multiple UDI implementations are available

- **CHOS: a custom solution developed at NERSC**
  - 8+ years’ use on NERSC’s production clusters
  - Demonstrates feasibility, low overhead, and seamless user experience
  - Lacks wide adoption

- **VMs**
  - Need to manage hypervisors
  - Overhead of VM
  - Hard/Impossible to integrate with file systems and interconnect

- **Docker: a solution implemented through Linux namespaces and cgroups**
  - Widespread community momentum and adoption
  - All kernel requirements have been mainlined
  - Potential cluster/HPC deployment issues

- **Hybrid**
  - Customized run time environment that integrates with CLE
  - Able to draw from and integrate with the Docker image ecosystem
Performance/Resource Consumption

- Multiple Studies comparing Containers/Docker to VMs
- Containers typically approach bare-metal performance
- Containers launch much faster (10x-1000x)
- Containers require less memory since it typically launches a single or limited number of processes

Figure 1. Linpack performance on two sockets (16 cores). Each data point is the arithmetic mean obtained from ten runs. Error bars indicate the standard deviation obtained over all runs.

Source: IBM Research Report (RC25482)
Docker at a High Level

• Process Container: Uses Linux kernel features (cgroups and namespace) to create semi-isolated “containers”

• Image Management: Version control style image management front-end and image building interface

• Ecosystem: Can push/pull images from a community-oriented image hub (i.e. DockerHub)
Containers vs. VMs

Containers are isolated, but share kernel and, where appropriate, bins/libraries.
“MyDock”

• **Goal:** Allow Users to run their own images using Docker with access to shared resources (i.e. file systems, network)

• **Challenges:**
  – Security Risk: Escalated privileges (suid, privileged ports, devices)

• **Approach: MyDock Wrapper**
  – Limit users to running as themselves
  – Only pass-through/expose directories they own
  – Disable “privileged” mode options
  – Mount everything with nosuid, nodev
Use of MyDock by NERSC User’s

Dark Energy Survey

• Image analysis from Sky Surveys
• Part of an SC14 Demo
• Used global scratch to store data
• Container launches a condor worker that talks to a global scheduler at U. of Illinois
• Used reserved nodes on Jesup Testbed Cluster
User Defined Images on the Cray Platforms
Docker on the Cray?

- **Docker assumes local disk**
  - aufs, btrfs demand shared-memory access to local disk
  
- **Approach**
  - Leverage Docker image and integrate with DockerHub
  
  - Adopt alternate approach for instantiating the environment on the compute node (i.e. don’t use the Docker daemon)
  
  - Plus: Easier to integrate and support
  
  - Minus: Can’t easily leverage other parts of the Docker ecosystem (i.e. orchestration)
**Image Location/Format Options**

**Image Location Options**
- **GPFS** – No local clients, so overhead of DVS
- **Lustre** – Local clients, large scale
- **Burst Buffer** – No widely available yet
- **Local Disk** – Not available on Crays
- **iSCSI/SRP mounts** – Not readily available, worth exploring

**Image Format Options**
- **Unpacked Trees**
  - Simple to implement
  - Metadata performance depends on metadata performance of the underlying system (i.e. Lustre or GPFS)
- **Loopback File Systems**
  - Moderate complexity
  - Keeps file system operations local

**Considerations**
- Scalable
- Manageable
- Metadata performance
- Bandwidth Consumption
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Prototype Implementation
Prototype Implementation: “Shifter”

• **Supports**
  – Docker Images
  – CHOS Images
  – Will be able to support other image types (e.g., qcow2, vmware, etc)

• **Basic Idea**
  – Convert native image format to common format
  – Construct chroot tree on compute nodes using common format image
  – Directly use linux VFS namespaces to support
Prototype Implementation: “Shifter”

• **Command line interface to emulate some docker functionality**
  – List images
  – Pull image from DockerHub / private registry
  – Login to DockerHub

• **Central gateway service**
  – Manage images
  – Transfer images to computational resource
  – Convert images several sources to common ext4 sparse file

• **udiRoot**
  – Sets up image on compute node
  – CCM-like implementation to support internode communication

• **Workload Manager Integration**
  – Pull image at job submission time if it doesn’t already exist
  – Implement user interface for user-specified volume mapping
  – Launch udiRoot on all compute nodes at job start
  – Deconstruct udiRoot on all compute nodes at job end
  – WLM provides resource management (e.g., cgroups)
Prototype Implementation: “Shifter”

ALPS integration shown, Native Slurm uses plugin capability to execute features without MOM node
Pynamic Benchmark Results

Pynamic Benchmark

Parameters:
495 libraries
1850 average # of functions

Time (s)
Future Work and Discussion
Future Work

• Integrate with other batch modes including serial queues
• Expand support for other image types (i.e. qcow2)
• Explore replacing image gateway with more standard image repo (i.e. glance from OpenStack)
• Create a base image for running MPI applications in a NERSC private docker registry
  – either using Cray Libraries or RDMA compatibility
Conclusions

• UDI will enable Data Intensive Workloads to get started much more quickly on cori
  – Don’t change your pipeline for the computation resource – shift the computational resource to your pipeline!

• “Shifter” prototype implementation demonstrates that UDI is both possible and performant

• Shifter approach to UDI may provide additional benefits for shared-library-heavy codes
Why Developers Care

• **Build once...run anywhere**
  – A clean, safe, hygienic and portable runtime environment for your app.
  – No worries about missing dependencies, packages and other pain points during subsequent deployments.
  – Take a snapshot of a running container and restore it again when required.
  – Run each app in its own isolated container, so you can run various versions of libraries and other dependencies for each app without worrying.
  – Automate testing, integration, packaging...anything you can script.
  – Reduce/eliminate concerns about compatibility on different platforms, either your own or your customers.
  – Cheap, zero-penalty containers to deploy services? A VM without the overhead of a VM? Instant replay and reset of image snapshots? That’s the power of Docker.
More Science Requires More Computing

Science at Scale
Petascale to Exascale Simulations

Science through Volume
Thousands to Millions of Simulations

Science in Data
Petabytes to Exabytes of Data
What Data Users Like about Clusters

- Flexible Queue Policies (longer wall times, more queued jobs, serial queues)
- Access to faster single cores and larger memory
- Easier support for 3rd Party Tools
- Ease of Use and Flexibility