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“Cray has a long history working with the Sandia National Laboratories and a strong partnership around developing new technologies and advanced solutions. **The foundation for Cray’s roadmap in Advanced Power Management was built on the pioneering research jointly conducted at Sandia Laboratories on the first Cray XT platform, Red Storm.** In addition, Cray wants to acknowledge Sandia’s leadership role in driving vendors to use common API’s for power management and control,”

- **Peter Ungaro, President and CEO of Cray Inc.**
2006
- Initial research on first Cray XT platform - Red Storm

2012:
- Use case study conducted

2014
- Power API specification

2015
- Trinity Power NRE Project
If I had an hour to solve a problem and my life depended on it, I would use the first 55 minutes determining the proper questions to ask.

Albert Einstein
2006: Initial Research

Measure Control

- Facility Manager
- HPCS Monitor & Control
- HPCS User
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- HPCS Application
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- HPCS Admin
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Diagram is the result of a UML study of the target space

• Goal: Define Scope, Roles and Interfaces

Arrows indicate interfaces or interaction between an Actor (Role) and System

• Each interaction represents an interface that is defined in the specification
• Specification is structured from the user or Role perspective

Notice that an Actor (Role) can also be a System

2014: Power API Specification

- Version 1.0, 1.1, 1.1a and 1.2 delivered
- Community needed a portable API for measuring and controlling power and energy
- Sandia developed Power API specification to fill this gap
- Provides portable power measurement and control interfaces
  - Covers full spectrum of facility to component
- First production implementation will be Trinity (ATS1)
- Continued (increasing) community involvement and influence

http://powerapi.sandia.gov

- **Broad Scope**
  - High-level: end user and applications
  - Low-level: hardware and operating system

- **Roles (actors)**

- **Systems**

- **Interfaces**
  - Roles interacting with Systems
Power API Goals

- Portability for the HPC community
  - Wouldn’t it be nice to develop tools that worked on all your machines with little to no modification?
  - Same desire exists no matter what Role you play

- Forecast emerging needs of HPC community
  - As a group, inform the vendors of how we want to use systems now and in the future
  - Specification acts as a basis of collaboration

- Expose new capabilities developed by vendors and community
  - Leverage vendor and community innovations in this and related spaces
  - E.g. Geo and Redfish

- Most important, want something out there to throw stones at
  - Need a starting point!
What is the Power API?

- A comprehensive API for power MEASUREMENT and CONTROL of HPC platforms
  - Comprehensive = Facility to Component
  - API = Define the interface not the mechanism
  - HPC platforms = Facility (or datacenter) and all the platforms within

- Core (Common) among all “users” Includes:
  - Roles, Initialization, Navigation, Objects and Groups,
  - Attributes (Get/Set), Metadata and Statistics

- High-Level Common
  - Higher level of abstraction but still potentially common among multiple Roles

- Role/System Specific
  - Higher level abstraction specific to how Role interfaces with system
typedef enum {
    PWR_OBJ_PLATFORM,
    PWR_OBJ_CABINET,
    PWR_OBJ_CHASSIS,
    PWR_OBJ_BOARD,
    PWR_OBJ_NODE,
    PWR_OBJ_SOCKET,
    PWR_OBJ_CORE,
    PWR_OBJ_POWER_PLANE,
    PWR_OBJ_MEM,
    PWR_OBJ_NIC,
    PWR_OBJ_INVALID
} PWR_ObjType;
Monitor:

```c
int PWR_ObjAttrGetValue( PWR_Obj object, PWR_AttrName attr, void* value, PWR_Time* ts );
```

Control:

```c
int PWR_ObjAttrSetValue( PWR_Obj object, PWR_AttrName attr, const void* value );
```
Cray PM on XC system

- First released in 2013
  - System Management Workstation (SMW) 7.0.UP03
  - Cray Linux Environment (CLE) 5.0.UP03
- Power Management Database (PMDB)
- System Power Capping
- PM Counters /sys/cray/pm_counters
- Resource Utilization Reporting (RUR)

- Online documentation:
  - [http://docs.cray.com/books/S-0043-7204/S-0043-7204.pdf](http://docs.cray.com/books/S-0043-7204/S-0043-7204.pdf)
Cray Advanced Platform Monitoring and Control (CAPMC)

- Cray Advanced Power Platform Monitoring and Control
  - CAPMC, much more than just power
- Released in the fall of 2014
  - SMW 7.2.UP02 and CLE 5.2.UP02
  - New features in upcoming release
- Enabling Workload Managers (WLM)
  - Secure, authenticated, off-smw, monitoring and control interfaces
  - Supported by major WLM partners on XC systems

- CAPMC online documentation:
Trinity NRE: Three Areas of Focus

1. Cray: Power Management Database interface (PMDB)
   - Python (coming in Version 2.0 of Power API specification)
   - Implementation of PowerAPI in Python providing access to Cray’s PMDB

2. Cray: Compute node interface
   - Node level C interface
   - Implementation in C on Cray Compute Nodes for Trinity systems

3. Adaptive: Power Aware Scheduling
   - Focusing on features that will enable use cases
   - Exercise capabilities implemented as part of #2 and potentially #1 above
Trinity NRE: PowerAPI access to PMDB

```python
# INIT
myPwrCntxt = pwr.Cntxt(pwr.CntxtType.DEFAULT, pwr.Role.RM, "Default")
myPwrObj = myPwrCntxt.GetObjByName(objName)
attrName = pwr.AttrName.POWER

# Get Point in Time
measInfo = myPwrObj.AttrGetValue(attrName)
measurementValue = measInfo.value
measurementTime = measInfo.timestamp

# Average over time single object
attrStat = pwr.AttrStat.AVG
endTime = Time(time.time())  # current time.
timePeriod = timePeriod(start=(endTime-3600.0), end=endTime)  # one hour.
statInfo = myPwrObj.GetStat(attrName, attrStat, timePeriod)
statisticValue = statInfo.value
statisticTimePeriod = statInfo.timestamp
```
Trinity NRE: Compute Node

```c
PWR_Cntxt myPwrCntxt;
PWR_Obj myPwrObj;
double orig_freq, io_freq;
PWR_Time my_ts;

/* Init context, and entry point... w/out error checking/handling */
rc = PWR_CntxtInit(PWR_CNTXT_DEFAULT, PWR_ROLE_RM, "foo", &myPwrCntxt);
rc = PWR_CntxtGetEntryPoint(myPwrCntxt, &myPwrObj);
...

/* Get the current frequency for myPwrObj */
rc = PWR_ObjAttrGetValue(myPwrObj, PWR_ATTR_FREQ, &orig_freq, &my_ts);
...

/* Set new frequency */
io_freq = MY_IO_FREQ;
rc = PWR_ObjAttrSetValue(myPwrObj, PWR_ATTR_FREQ, &io_freq);
```

PowerAPI C example code
Trinity NRE: Compute Node

- Leverage potential power savings using application hints
- Onload (CPU involved) – communication performance is effected by processor frequency changes – Ignore hint
- Offload – communication performance maintained in addition to significant power savings
- Application (or run-time) provides the hint
- Underlying hardware can choose to leverage hints based on circumstances
Trinity NRE: Power Aware Scheduling

Power capping appealing due to max power draw “guarantee”
Recapture (WallPlate – Pcap) headroom and reallocate elsewhere
Potentially useful for doing power aware scheduling
P-state control appealing due to predictable performance, power unknown

**P-State Sweep**

**Fixed Performance, Variable Power**

**Power Cap Sweep**

**Fixed Power, Variable Performance**

Each point is a node, avg 5 trials with error bars
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Trinity NRE: Power Aware Scheduling

- S3D CPU 0 Frequency over time for 10 nodes
- Hitting Power Cap Leads to Frequency Oscillation
- Performance variability is a problem for bulk synchronous applications
  - Only as fast as the slowest node
Who is Behind PowerAPI?

<Your logo here!>
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Thank you – Questions?

http://powerapi.sandia.gov/
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Node-Level Power Capping

Trinity “Haswell” Compute Node

- Blade controller receives power cap command from management network
- Blade controller relays command to Intel PCH
- PCH runs Intel’s Node Manager firmware, which enforces the power cap
- Cray node-level power meter provides feedback to Node Manager
- Node manager makes use of each socket’s RAPL
- Power capping algorithm not disclosed by Intel

Job/System-Level Power Capping

Cray XC40 Power Management Architecture

- A single management workstation controls system, the SMW
- Node-level power caps set from SMW, distributed to compute nodes via out-of-band management network
- Admins use `xtpmaction` command to set power caps manually
- Workload managers use Cray’s CAPMC web API to set power caps
- Users may launch their job at a fixed p-state, default is P0 (turbo on)

See: Monitoring and Managing Power Consumption on the Cray XC System, April 2015, S-0043-7203
# INIT
myPwrCntxt = pwr.Cntxt(pwr.CntxtType.DEFAULT, pwr.Role.RM, "Default")
myPwrObj = myPwrCntxt.GetObjByName(objName)
attrName = pwr.AttrName.POWER

# Point in Time
measInfo = myPwrObj.AttrGetValue(attrName)
measurementValue = measInfo.value
measurementTime = measInfo.timestamp

# Maximum overTime Multiple objects
attrStat = pwr.AttrStat.MAX
statInfo = myPwrObj.GetStat(attrName, attrStat, timePeriod)
statisticValue = statInfo.value
statisticTimePeriod = statInfo.timestamp
statisticPwrObj = statInfo.obj