Accelerate your IO with the Burst Buffer
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HPC memory hierarchy

• *Silicon and system integration*

• Bring everything – storage, memory, interconnect – closer to the cores

• Raise center of gravity of memory pyramid, and make it fatter
  – *Enable faster and more efficient data movement*
  – *Scientific Big Data: Addressing Volume, Velocity*
Why an SSD Burst Buffer?

- **Motivation**: Handle spikes in I/O bandwidth requirements
  - Reduce overall application run time
  - Compute resources are idle during I/O bursts

![Graph showing system I/O rate over time with SSD Burst Buffer absorbing peak load and parallel filesystem handling sustained load.](image-url)
Why an SSD Burst Buffer?

• **Motivation:** Handle spikes in I/O bandwidth requirements
  – Reduce overall application run time
  – Compute resources are idle during I/O bursts

• **Some user applications have challenging I/O patterns**
  – High IOPs, random reads, different concurrency… perfect for SSDs

• **Cost rationale:** Disk-based PFS bandwidth is expensive
  – Disk capacity is relatively cheap
  – SSD *bandwidth* is relatively cheap

=> Separate bandwidth and spinning disk
   • Provide high BW without wasting PFS capacity
   • Leverage Cray Aries network speed
NERSC at LBL, production HPC center for DoE
- >7000 diverse users across all DoE science domains

Cori – NERSC’s Newest Supercomputer – Cray XC40
- 2,388 Intel Haswell dual 16-core nodes
- 9,688 Intel Knights Landing Xeon Phi nodes, 68 cores

Cray Aries high-speed “dragonfly” topology interconnect

Lustre Filesystem: 27 PB ; 248 OSTs; 700 GB/s peak performance

1.8PB of Burst Buffer
• DataWarp software (integrated with SLURM WLM) allocates portions of available storage to users per-job (or ‘persistent’).
• Users see a POSIX filesystem
• Filesystem can be striped across multiple BB nodes (depending on allocation size requested)
DataWarp software (integrated with SLURM WLM) allocates portions of available storage to users per-job (or ‘persistent’).

- Users see a POSIX filesystem
- Filesystem can be striped across multiple BB nodes (depending on allocation size requested)
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Burst Buffer Blade = 2xNodes

- ~1.8PiB of SSDs over 288 nodes
- Accessible from both HSW and KNL nodes
DataWarp: Under the hood

- Workload Manager (Slurm) schedules job in the queue on Cori
- DataWarp Service (DWS) configures DW space and compute node access to DW
- DataWarp Filesystem handles stage interactions with PFS (Parallel File System, i.e. scratch)
- Compute nodes access DW via a mount point
Two kinds of DataWarp Instances

• “Instance”: an allocation on the BB
• Can it be shared? What is its lifetime?
  – Per-Job Instance
    • Can only be used by job that creates it
    • Lifetime is the same as the creating job
    • Use cases: PFS staging, application scratch, checkpoints
  – Persistent Instance
    • Can be used by any job (subject to UNIX file permissions)
    • Lifetime is controlled by creator
    • Use cases: Shared data, PFS staging, Coupled job workflow
    • NOT for long-term storage of data!
Two DataWarp Access Modes

• Striped (“Shared”)
  – Files are striped across all DataWarp nodes
  – Files are visible to all compute nodes
  – Aggregates both capacity and BW per file
  – One DataWarp node elected as the metadata server (MDS)

• Private
  – Files are assigned to one or more DataWarp node (can chose to stripe)
  – File are visible to only the compute node that created them
  – Each DataWarp node is an MDS for one or more compute nodes
How to use DataWarp

• Principal user access: SLURM Job script directives: #DW
  — Allocate job or persistent DataWarp space
  — Stage files or directories in from PFS to DW; out DW to PFS
  — Access BB mount point via $DW_JOB_STRIPED, $DW_JOB_PRIVATE, $DW_PERSISTENT_STRIPED_name

• We’ll go through this in more detail later….

• User library API – libdatawarp
  — Allows direct control of staging files asynchronously
  — C library interface
    — https://www.nersc.gov/users/computational-systems/cori/burst-buffer/example-batch-scripts/#toc-anchor-8
    — https://github.com/NERSC/BB-unit-tests/tree/master/datawarpAPI
Why not node-local SSDs?

- Average >1000 jobs running on Cori at any time
- Diverse workload
  - Many NERSC users are IO-bound
  - Small-scale compute jobs, large-scale IO needs
- Persistent BB reservations enable medium-term data access without tying up compute nodes
  - Multi-stage workflows with differing concurrencies can simultaneously access files on BB.
- Easier to stream data directly into BB from external experiment
- **Configurable BB makes sense for our user load**
Benchmark Performance on Cori

- Burst Buffer is now doing very well against benchmark performance targets
  - Out-performs Lustre significantly
  - Fastest IO system in the world!

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>IOR Posix FPP</th>
<th>IOR MPIO Shared File</th>
<th>IOPS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Read</td>
<td>Write</td>
<td>Read</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Best Measured (287 Burst Buffer Nodes : 11120 Compute Nodes; 4 ranks/node)*</td>
<td>1.7 TB/s</td>
<td>1.6 TB/s</td>
<td>1.3 TB/s</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Bandwidth tests: 8 GB block-size 1MB transfers  IOPS tests: 1M blocks 4k transfer
Burst Buffer enables Workflow coupling and visualization

• Success story: Burst Buffer can enable new workflows that were difficult to orchestrate using Lustre alone.
Workflows Use Case: ChomboCrunch + VisIT

• ChomboCrunch simulates pore-scale reactive transport processes associated with carbon sequestration
  – Flow of liquids through ground layers
  – All MPI ranks write to single shared HDF5 ‘.plt’ file.
  – Higher resolution -> more accurate simulation -> more data output (O(100TB))

• VisIT – visualisation and analysis tool for scientific data
  – Reads ‘.plt’ files produces ‘.png’ for encoding into movie
• Before: used Lustre to store intermediate files.
Workflows Use Case: ChomboCrunch + VisIT

- Burst Buffer significantly out-performs Lustre for this application at all resolution levels
  - Did not require any additional tuning!
- Bandwidth achieved is around a quarter of peak, scales well.

Compute node/BB node scaled: 16/1 to 1024/64
Lustre results used a 1MB stripe size and a stripe count of 72 OSTs
Summary

• Burst Buffer technology has been available to NERSC users for over 18 months
  – Some initial teething problems, but a productive collaboration with Cray has meant nearly all users see an improvement in their IO (compared to Lustre) out of the box

• For the rest of this tutorial we’ll hear more of user experiences from KAUST, the administrators perspective, then you’ll have a chance to run some simple examples on Cori’s Burst Buffer before we have a detailed use case of a complex workflow, from KAUST.
Extra slides
Summary

• NERSC has the first Burst Buffer for open science in the USA
  – And the first in the world that is being tested for real use cases!
• Users are able to take advantage of SSD performance
  – Some tuning may be required to maximise performance
• Many bugs now worked through
  – But care is needed when using this new technology!
• User experience today is generally good
  – Let us know if you’re interested in using the Burst Buffer…
Striping, granularity and pools

• DataWarp nodes are configured to have “granularity”
  – Minimum amount of data that will land on one node

• Two “pools” of DataWarp nodes, with different granularity
  – wlm_pool (default): 200GiB
    • #DW jobdw capacity=1000GB access_mode=striped type=scratch pool=wlm_pool
  – sm_pool: 20.14 GiB
    • #DW jobdw capacity=1000GB access_mode=striped type=scratch pool=sm_pool

• For example, 1.2TiB will be striped over 6 BB nodes in wlm_pool, but over 60 BB nodes in sm_pool
  – No guarantee that allocation will be spread evenly over SSDs
  - may see >1 “grain” on a single node
Performance tips

• **Stripe your files across multiple BB servers**
  – To obtain good scaling, need to drive IO with sufficient compute - scale up # BB nodes with # compute nodes
Resources

• NERSC Burst Buffer Web Pages
  http://www.nersc.gov/users/computational-systems/cori/burst-buffer/

• Example batch scripts
  http://www.nersc.gov/users/computational-systems/cori/burst-buffer/example-batch-scripts/

• Burst Buffer Early User Program Paper
  http://www.nersc.gov/assets/Uploads/Nersc-BB-EU-P-CUG.pdf
SSD write protection

- SSDs support a set amount of write activity before they wear out
- Runaway application processes may write an excessive amount of data, and therefore, “destroy” the SSDs
- Three write protection policies
  - Maximum number of bytes written in a period of time
  - Maximum size of a file in a namespace
  - Maximum number of files allowed to be created in a namespace

- Log, error, log and error
  - EROFS (write window exceeded)
  - EMFILE (maximum files created exceeded)
  - (maximum file size exceeded)